



**ADDENDUM NO. 1
REQUEST FOR QUALIFICATIONS (RFQ)
FOOTHILL COMMUNITY PARK
RFQ QUESTIONS & ANSWERS**

The following questions were received regarding the above-referenced RFQ. Responses are provided below for clarification and shall be considered part of the RFQ documents.

1. Will the District provide responses to questions on a rolling basis, rather than as a single consolidated addendum after the May 5 deadline, to allow teams adequate time to prepare focused proposals?

Answer: Responses to questions will be provided in a single consolidated addendum following the May 5 deadline.

2. Please confirm whether Construction Documents and Construction Administration (CA) services are to be included in the project scope.

Answer: Construction documents only.

3. What milestones are required for construction document submission (60%, 90%, 100%)?

Answer: The anticipated construction document milestones include 60%, 90%, and 100% submittals. Additional milestone requirements, if any, will be identified by the District.

4. Should the consultant team include preparation or coordination of a Geotechnical Report within the proposed scope of services, or will the City provide this?

Answer: Yes.

5. Is a current title report available for the project site, or should this be provided by the consultant team?

Answer: Available upon request.

6. Please clarify and confirm whether CEQA documentation is required as part of this effort, and if so, the anticipated level of analysis.

Answer: CEQA documentation is not required.

7. Is any public outreach, stakeholder engagement, or community meeting anticipated or required as part of the project?

Answer: Yes.

8. What is the anticipated construction budget for the project?

Answer: The anticipated construction budget is approximately \$1 million.

9. Is there an estimated size or program area for the proposed splash pad and picnic area?

Answer: No. The anticipated size of area is approximate based on the master plan.

10. Please clarify what the District is seeking in response to the proposal requirement for “Links to websites.”

Answer: See page 4, item number 7 of RFQ.

11. Are any site improvements anticipated beyond the splash pad and picnic area currently described in the RFP?

Answer: To be determined based on recommended site improvements.

12. As the final splash pad location will affect survey limits and associated costs, does the City have anticipated survey boundaries or limits for the project?

Answer: Yes. Survey limits will be determined in coordination with the selected consultant.

13. Will the splash pad be a drain-away or recirculating system?

Answer: To be determined.

14. The RFQ mentions design services for the splash pad and picnic area. The master plan shows a playground within this area. Is the playground also being designed?

Answer: No.

15. The approved master plan shows approximately 2 acres for this area being designed. If this location is relocated, should the design still target approximately 2 acres?

Answer: To be determined.

16. Do you envision community meetings being required for this project?

Answer: Yes.

17. Does the scope of work include the entire “Focus Area” as identified in the Master Plan, or is it limited to the picnic area and water play components? Please also clarify whether the scope extends to other elements such as the parking lot, restroom remodel, animal-themed art and play features, themed birthday ring, fencing, and/or other related improvements.

Answer: The scope is limited to the picnic area and splash pad. However, additional upgrades (e.g., restrooms) may be included if they are required to support recommended amenities.

18. What areas are desired to be planted/irrigated as part of this project?

Answer: This will depend on the recommended amenities included within the plan.

19. For the scope of work, it lists the “Final Recommendations” as item #7, but chronologically it appears that it should be item #3. Is this correct?

Answer: Yes.

20. Will there be a full Master Plan re-review and approval process?

Answer: No, only the areas identified for review.

21. What are the limits of the topographic survey?

Answer: Not fully determined at this time, but approximately 2 acres.

22. Is a boundary survey needed?

Answer: No.

23. With respect to site lighting, what level and type of lighting does the District anticipate? Should this be limited to security lighting only, or should it also include pedestrian path lighting and parking lot lighting?

Answer: This will depend on the location of recommended amenities. At most, security lighting is anticipated.

24. For “Stakeholder Coordination,” what will be the responsibilities of the District versus those of the consultant team? Are community/public meetings desired?

Answer: To be determined (TBD).

25. Aside from review/approval by the District, what other entities and/or jurisdictions require review and approval of this project?

Answer: Sacramento County.

26. For the Construction Documents, what submittal increments are desired (if any)? For example, 30%, 60%, 90%, and 100%/Final?

Answer: 60%, 90%, and 100%/Final. Additional milestone requirements, if any, will be identified by the District.

27. What is the available budget for this project?

Answer: Approximately \$1 million.

28. Can you clarify the funding sources for this project (e.g., Proposition 68, park impact fees, or other funding mechanisms)?

Answer: Per Capita and Foothill Park Improvement Fund.

29. Does the District have any plans/as-builts available for this portion of the park?

Answer: The District will review available records and provide any applicable documents.

30. Can you please provide a sample contract for review?

Answer: Please see attached link [SRPD Contract for Services Template.docx](#)

31. Are geotechnical services required?

Answer: Yes.

32. Is there an existing topographic survey of the site that can be made available for review?

Answer: To be determined.

33. What is the evaluation criteria for consultant proposals?

Answer: See page 8 of the RFQ.

34. What is the proposal page limit?

Answer: There is no page limit.

35. The RFP didn't mention anything about community outreach. If requested, should that be included in the consultant's scope, or will the District lead that effort?

Answer: No community outreach will be required. This was completed during the original Master Plan process. The selected consultant will be asked to attend and present at select Advisory Board of Directors meetings.

36. When will the answers to all questions received be released?

Answer: Close of business (COB) on May 6, 2026.

End of Addendum No. 1